

Sample evidence pack

An evidence pack is twelve exports from a running system, generated by a script and never written by hand. This one is a sample drawn from the reference kit: its seed data, its provider zone, its redaction rules and its controls matrix. None of it describes a real client. An item with no seeded example says so, because an empty table would read as a clean result.

§01

What the pack contains

Twelve items, in the order every pack lists them.

<u>Item 1: Ledger extract</u>	Rows in the sample
<u>Item 2: Audit log integrity proof</u>	Rows in the sample
<u>Item 3: Approval log</u>	Rows in the sample
<u>Item 4: Killswitch test record</u>	Rows in the sample
<u>Item 5: Ceiling configuration and breaches</u>	Rows in the sample
<u>Item 6: Model version manifest</u>	Rows in the sample
<u>Item 7: Provider list and egress proof</u>	Counted in the sample
<u>Item 8: Schema registry</u>	Rows in the sample
<u>Item 9: Redaction rules and test results</u>	Rows in the sample
<u>Item 10: Incident log</u>	Rows in the sample

<u>Item 11: The controls matrix</u>	Published as its own page
<u>Item 12: The not-covered register</u>	Rows in the sample

§02

The twelve items

Item 1: Ledger extract

Every metered call for the period, model or tool, with its subject, model version, estimated and actual cost, and outcome. Dry runs are listed apart, so a rehearsal never reads as spend.

Spend

SAMPLE

Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:14:22 UTC Type Model call
Model claude-sonnet-5 Estimated £0.0024 Cost £0.0021
Outcome Booked

Subject

Classify inbound complaint 4471 against the deployment’s own category list

Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:14:51 UTC Type Model call
Model claude-sonnet-5 Estimated £0.0024 Cost £0.0000
Outcome Refused

Subject

Classify inbound complaint 4472, refused at the spend path while the killswitch was engaged

Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:40:12 UTC Type Model call
Model claude-sonnet-5 Estimated £0.0180 Cost £0.0000
Outcome Refused at ceiling

Subject

Summarise the correspondence history for complaint 4471 before the reply is drafted

Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:52:30 UTC Type Model call
Model claude-sonnet-5 Estimated £0.0024 Cost £0.0023
Outcome Booked

Subject
Classify inbound complaint 4473 against the deployment's own category list

Dry runs

SAMPLE

Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:13:40 UTC Type Model call
Model claude-sonnet-5 Estimated £0.0024 Cost £0.0000
Outcome Dry run

Subject
Rehearsal of the complaint pipeline, end to end, spending nothing

Item 2: Audit log integrity proof

The audit log's hash chain for the period, each entry with its own hash and the hash of the entry before it, and the result of checking every link.

The chain

SAMPLE

Entry 1 Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:31:40 UTC Event Approval decided
By sample.reviewer Applies to Approval
Hash f5b79afa3162d97975307271d6f854c5995921969b2651f26bc0df4fcd5d11e2
Previous entry's hash None: the first entry

Entry 2 Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:40:12 UTC Event Ceiling refusal
By spend-path Applies to Ledger entry
Hash 314dbb139a9eab2f14da24101a36c3a6fc53d32b7d25a2abf1e884f06b83fd31
Previous entry's hash
f5b79afa3162d97975307271d6f854c5995921969b2651f26bc0df4fcd5d11e2

Entry 3 Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:53:05 UTC Event Incident opened
By sample.operator Applies to Incident
Hash 162ae58d858bdfdc1f1487a03f39f3021f20e40a1b1dce1e0cff88b9faeed5c6
Previous entry's hash
314dbb139a9eab2f14da24101a36c3a6fc53d32b7d25a2abf1e884f06b83fd31

Entry 4 Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:58:00 UTC Event Incident updated
By sample.operator Applies to Incident
Hash 717429e20eb5be138cdd288f57d641d08b1ff8d3449331895946131490f31f01
Previous entry's hash
162ae58d858bdfdc1f1487a03f39f3021f20e40a1b1dce1e0cff88b9faeed5c6

Entry 5 Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 10:05:00 UTC Event Incident updated
By sample.operator Applies to Incident
Hash 3a58bf814626ab3e8ff57b4da8fa291e2f58a5ea7ef229e41ece96cb4104b528
Previous entry's hash
717429e20eb5be138cdd288f57d641d08b1ff8d3449331895946131490f31f01

Entry 6 Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 10:30:00 UTC Event Incident updated
By sample.operator Applies to Incident
Hash 20107286ce77f87c214b306b70f7daaa4d869247512fd97845c8b6e81c390f1e
Previous entry's hash
3a58bf814626ab3e8ff57b4da8fa291e2f58a5ea7ef229e41ece96cb4104b528

Verification

SAMPLE

Result Verified Faults None

Tenant 0192f3a1-0000-7000-8000-000000000001 Entries 6 First entry 1
Last entry 6 Content erased 0

What this shows

Each entry's hash was recomputed from the fields it commits to and compared with the hash it stores. Each entry after the first was also checked for the hash of the entry before it. That catches an entry deleted from between two others, and a change to a hashed field unless every hash from that entry onward was recomputed too. Three fields are outside the hash: the retention date, the erasure record and the sample mark. The kit publishes no head hash, so a chain rewritten and recomputed from any entry onward, or cut at either end, would pass as well.

Item 3: Approval log

Every human gate decision: who decided, when, what they saw, and what they decided.

SAMPLE

Decided 2026-09-01 09:31:40 UTC By sample.reviewer

Decision Approved

What the reviewer saw

Proposed category: "Billing dispute". Model claude-sonnet-5. The reviewer saw the model output, the complaint text it was given, and the two categories it ranked below this one.

Item 4: Killswitch test record

Each killswitch drill: the date, the operator, what stopped, the recovery time, and the safe-state behaviour observed.

SAMPLE

Ran 2026-09-01 09:14:45 UTC By sample.operator Recovery 180 s

What stopped

Every paid model call, at the spend path, before any provider was contacted

Safe state

No call was in flight when the flag was set: the previous one had already completed and booked. The next call was refused at the spend path rather than being dropped, so it stands in the ledger as a refusal instead of going missing from it.

Item 5: Ceiling configuration and breaches

The spend ceilings in force, and every breach of them.

Ceilings

SAMPLE

Ceiling Per call Limit £0.0060

In force from 2026-08-03 08:00:00 UTC In force until Still in force

Source

packages/db/src/seed-data.ts

Ceiling Organisation, rolling 24 hours Limit £5.0000

In force from 2026-08-03 08:00:00 UTC In force until Still in force

Source

packages/db/src/seed-data.ts

Ceiling Whole system, rolling 24 hours Limit £20.0000

In force from 2026-08-03 08:00:00 UTC In force until Still in force

Source

packages/db/src/seed-data.ts

Breaches

SAMPLE

Time (UTC) 2026-09-01 09:40:12 UTC Ceiling Per call Limit £0.0060

Already committed £0.0000 Estimated £0.0180 Cost £0.0000

Outcome Refused at ceiling

Subject

Summarise the correspondence history for complaint 4471 before the reply is drafted

Item 6: Model version manifest

Which model version answered which component, and when that changed.

SAMPLE

```
Component complaint-classifier  Model  claudesonnet-5
In force from 2026-08-03 08:00:00 UTC
```

Why it changed

First pinned version for this component at deployment

Item 7: Provider list and egress proof

The model providers the system may call, and where the proof lives: the policy test showing that no unmetered call exists outside the provider zone.

The kit's provider zone names 14 hosts and 21 packages that no code outside it may call or import. The pack lists each one by name.

Item 8: Schema registry

The output schemas in force, with their version history and the rejections for the period.

SAMPLE

```
Component complaint-classifier  Version 1
In force from 2026-08-03 08:00:00 UTC  In force until Still in force
Rejected responses 1
```

```
Schema {"$schema":"https://json-schema.org/draft/2020-12/schema","additionalProperties":false,"properties":{"category":{"minLength":1,"type":"string"}},"required":["category"],"type":"object"}
```

Item 9: Redaction rules and test results

The redaction rules in force, and a test of them run each time the pack is generated.

Redaction rules

SAMPLE

Field decision Rule Kept as written

Field attestation Rule Kept as written

Field scope Rule Kept as written

Field limitMicros Rule Kept as written

Field committedMicros Rule Kept as written

Field transition Rule Kept as written

Field reportingPath Rule Kept as written

Field every field not named above Rule Dropped

Redaction tests

SAMPLE

Result Passed

Withheld

an email address, a phone number, a postcode, an account number, a name, a bearer token, an internal secret, a driver query, a narrative

What this shows

A specimen log line carrying personal data, credentials and free text was redacted by the rules above. Each item listed was missing from the output, and each kept field came out unchanged. That covers this specimen, not every input.

Result Passed

Kept

decision, attestation, scope, limitMicros, committedMicros, transition, reportingPath

Item 10: Incident log

Open incidents, and the incident log for the period.

SAMPLE

Detected 2026-09-01 09:52:30 UTC Opened 2026-09-01 09:53:05 UTC

Reported 2026-09-01 09:58:00 UTC Closed 2026-09-01 10:30:00 UTC

Severity Low Status Closed

Reporting path The client's incident process

Summary

The complaint classifier's output for complaint 4473 failed its schema and was rejected

Why this path

The rejected output was reported to the client's incident process

Item 11: The controls matrix

The controls matrix as it stands.

The matrix is published as a page of its own: [The controls matrix](#)

Item 12: The not-covered register

What the offer does not do, and who does it instead.

SAMPLE

Fundamental rights impact assessment

Obligations

Art. 27

Who covers it

Client's legal / DPO

Conformity assessment and CE marking

Obligations

Art. 43, Art. 47, Art. 48

Who covers it

Provider; notified body

Training data governance

Obligations

Art. 10

Who covers it

Model provider

Bias and fairness testing of the underlying model

Obligations

Art. 10, Art. 15

Who covers it

Model provider; client's model-risk function

Registration in the EU database

Obligations

Art. 49

Who covers it

Client's compliance function

Watermarking of generated content

Obligations

Art. 50

Who covers it

Model provider

Legal opinion on high-risk classification

Obligations

Art. 6 + Annex III

Who covers it

Client's legal

DPIA authorship

Obligations

GDPR Art. 35

Who covers it

Client's DPO

Threat-led penetration testing

Obligations

DORA Art. 26, DORA Art. 27

Who covers it

Client's security function; TLPT provider

ISO/IEC 42001 certification

Obligations

ISO/IEC 42001:2023

Who covers it

Accredited certification body

Independent model validation

Obligations

PRA SS1/23 Principle 4

Who covers it

Client's model validation function

Employer consultation on workplace AI

Obligations

Art. 26(7)

Who covers it

Client's HR